



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR       | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/587,903      | 07/28/2006  | Richard Frederick McNichol | HYPAC.002NP         | 3589             |

20995 7590 06/23/2009  
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET  
FOURTEENTH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

BAYOU, AMENE SETEGNE

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3746

|                   |               |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

06/23/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/587,903 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MCNICHOL ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>AMENE S. BAYOU    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3746                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AMENE S. BAYOU. (3) Ned Israelsen.

(2) Devon Kramer. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21,35,42 and 50.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 1616774,3148629,6193476.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendemnt to the claims in relation to the above prior arts discussed. Applicant will respond in writing addresssing the required further amendemnt to the claims in order to distinguish over the prior arts.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| /Amene S Bayou/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3746 | /Devon C Kramer/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746 |
|--|--|